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#### Abstract

The crystal structure of a racemic compound of benzyloxycarbonyl-Gly-L-Pro-L-Leuand its enantiomorph has been determined. The crystallographic data are: $P 2_{1} / a, a=22 \cdot 126$ (9), $b=9 \cdot 615$ (7), $c=10 \cdot 185$ (5) A , $\beta=96.23(4)^{\circ}, Z=4$. The final $R$ value is 0.059 . The peptide bond between the glycyl and prolyl residues has a cis conformation, and the bond angles around the N (Pro) atom are significantly affected by the internal rotation of the peptide bond. The angle $\angle \mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}^{\alpha}$ is larger and the angle $\angle \mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}^{\delta}$ is smaller, respectively, than those in the trans form. The torsion angles of the prolyl residue agree essentially with those of poly-L-proline I.


## Introduction

A series of oligopeptides, such as benzyloxycarbonyl( $Z$ )-Gly-L-Pro-L-Leu ( $Z$-GPL), $\quad Z$-Gly-L-Pro-L-Leu-Gly (Z-GPLG) and Z-Gly-L-Pro-L-Leu-Gly-LPro (Z-GPLGP) was synthesized to examine their structural specificity as a substrate of collagenase (Nagai \& Noda, 1959 ; Nagai, Sakakibara, Noda \& Akabori, 1960); among them only Z-GPLGP has substrate activity for collagenase. The crystal structures of $Z$ GPLG and Z-GPLGP have been determined, and the main chains of these peptides are both folded at the prolyl and leucyl residues, showing a $3_{10}$ bend (Ueki, Ashida, Kakudo, Sasada \& Katsube, 1969; Ueki, Bando, Ashida \& Kakudo, 1971). On the other hand, in the ORD study (Hamaguchi, 1970) the conformation of $Z$-GPL was found to be significantly different from those of the tetra- and pentapeptide. Thus the structural and physical properties of $Z$-GPL are particularly interesting as compared with Z-GPLG and $Z$-GPLGP, and also in comparison with the structure of l-Leu-L-Pro-Gly (Leung \& Marsh, 1958) which has the inversed amino acid sequence to $Z$-GPL.

The peptide $Z$-GPL crystallizes in the space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ (Sasada, Tanaka, Ogawa \& Kakudo, 1961), but the crystal was rather unsuitable for the analysis. Therefore the authors synthesized the exactly enantiomorphic peptide, that is, Z-Gly-D-Pro-D-Leu. In expectation of obtaining a crystal species with a centre of symmetry, the crystallization of the equimolar mixture of these two enantiomorphs was tried. Two modifications of crystals were obtained, and fortunately one has a convenient symmetry of $P 2_{1} / a$. The
other has a $4 a$ identity period. The present paper describes the structure of the former crystal, in which the peptide bond between glycyl and prolyl residues was found to have a cis conformation.

## Experimental

The crystal comprising a $1: 1$ molar ratio of $Z$-Gly-L-Pro-L-Leu and Z-Gly-d-Pro-D-Leu was obtained from an ethyl acetate solution of the equimolar mixture of these peptides, by adding a small amount of water. The crystallographic data are: molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$; molecular weight 419.47; space group $P 2_{1} / a, a=22 \cdot 126$ (9), $b=9 \cdot 615$ (7), $c=10 \cdot 185$ (5) $\AA, \beta=96.23(4)^{\circ} ; D_{m}=1 \cdot 28, D_{x}=1.289 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ (for $Z=4$ ); $\mu=7.97 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}($ for $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha)$.

The collection of the intensity data from the crystal with dimensions $0.2 \times 0.5 \times 0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ was carried out on a computer-controlled four-circle diffractometer (Rigaku AFC-III, at the Institute for Protein Research), using Ni-filtered $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation with a scintillation counter connected to a pulse height analyser. Integrated intensities were measured by the $\omega-2 \theta$ scanning method, with a scanning speed of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}(2 \theta)$. The scanning range was $(1 \cdot 50+0 \cdot 30 \tan \theta)^{\circ}(2 \theta)$. A total of 3769 independent reflexions with $2 \theta \leq 120^{\circ}$ were obtained, of which 3460 were non-zero reflexions. The absorption correction was not made.

## Structure determination

The good starting set of the phases was obtained by MULTAN (Germain, Main \& Woolfson, 1970). All
the non-hydrogen atoms were found from the $E$ map in which only one significant false peak appeared near the pyrrolidine ring. The refinement was carried out by the block-diagonal least-squares method using HBLS (Ashida, 1973). In the refinement, the function minimized was $\sum \omega\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$, where $\omega=\frac{1}{2}$ for $\left|F_{o}\right|=0$, $\omega=1$ for $0<\left|F_{o}\right|<50$, and $\omega=\left(50 /\left|F_{o}\right|\right)^{2}$ for $\left|F_{o}\right| \geq 50$. The final refinement including hydrogen atoms gave an $R$ of 0.059 for all the reflexions ( 0.054 for non-zero reflexions). The atomic scattering factors of the C , N , and O atoms were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962), and that of the H atom from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Calculations were carried out on the FACOM 230-60 computer of the Computer Centre of Nagoya University.

The final parameters of all the atoms are listed in Tables 1 and 2.* The e.s.d.'s of non-hydrogen and hydrogen atoms are $0.0015-0.0033 \AA$ and $0.020-0.029 \AA$, respectively.

## Discussion

## Molecular structure

Bond lengths and angles of non-hydrogen atoms are shown in Fig. $1(a)$ and (b). Two N-H bond lengths

* A list of structure factors has been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 31621 ( 18 pp., 1 microfiche). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 13 White Friars, Chester CH 11 NZ, England.
are 0.88 and $0.86 \AA$, respectively. The $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ is $0.93 \AA$. The bond lengths of C-H vary from 0.96 to $1.19 \AA$

Table 2. Positional $\left(\times 10^{3}\right)$ and thermal $(\times 10)$ parameters of hydrogen atoms
Standard deviations are given in parentheses.

|  | Bonded to | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(1) | C(1) | 468 (1) | 96 (3) | 1062 (2) | 66 (7) |
| H(2) | C(2) | 567 (1) | 185 (3) | 1029 (3) | 91 (8) |
| H(3) | $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 639 (1) | 248 (3) | 1218 (3) | 91 (8) |
| H(4) | C(4) | 608 (1) | 209 (3) | 1433 (3) | 87 (8) |
| H(5) | C(5) | 507 (1) | 129 (3) | 1461 (3) | 78 (7) |
| H(6) |  | 415 (1) | -51 (3) | 1213 (2) | 69 (7) |
| H(7) | C | 416 (1) | 4 (3) | 1379 (3) | 87 (8) |
| H(8) | $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 291 (1) | 272 (2) | 1163 (2) | 42 (5) |
| $\mathrm{H}(9)$ | C(9) | 267 (1) | 157 (2) | 921 (2) | 55 (6) |
| $\mathrm{H}(10)$ | C(9) | 231 (1) | 290 (2) | 979 (2) | 50 (5) |
| $\mathrm{H}(11)$ | C(11) | 167 (1) | 238 (2) | 784 (2) | 42 (5) |
| H(12) |  | 93 (1) | 108 (2) | 610 (2) | 54 (6) |
| H(13) |  | 61 (1) | 186 (3) | 736 (3) | 101 (9) |
| H(14) |  | 100 (2) | -108(4) | 715 (4) | 133 (12) |
| $\mathrm{H}(15)$ |  | 29 (1) | -30 (3) | 768 (3) | 71 (7) |
| $\mathrm{H}(16)$ |  | 116 (1) | -112 (3) | 943 (2) | 57 (6) |
| H(17) |  | 74 (1) | 26 (3) | 973 (2) | 67 (7) |
| H(18) | N(3) | 228 (1) | 232 (2) | 628 (2) | 47 (5) |
| H(19) | C(16) | 309 (1) | 16 (2) | 626 (2) | 37 (4) |
| H(20) | C(17) | 368 (1) | 175 (2) | 508 (2) | 47 (5) |
| H(21) | C(17) | 314 (1) | 281 (2) | 492 (2) | 49 (5) |
| $\mathrm{H}(22)$ | C(18) | 325 (1) | 327 (2) | 722 (2) | 54 (6) |
| H(23) |  | 429 (1) | 137 (3) | 733 (3) | 78 (7) |
| H(24) | C(19) | 417 (1) | 228 (3) | 854 (3) | 85 (8) |
| H(25) |  | 368 (1) | 101 (3) | 807 (2) | 64 (6) |
| H(26) |  | 439 (1) | 355 (3) | 609 (3) | 70 (7) |
| H(27) | C(20) | 421 (1) | 453 (3) | 732 (3) | 80 (8) |
| H(28) |  | 382 (1) | 469 (3) | 586 (3) | 99 (9) |
| H(29) | O (5) | 220 (1) | 69 (3) | 282 (3) | 96 (9) |

Table 1. Positional $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and thermal $\left(\times 10^{5}\right)$ parameters, with their standard deviations in parentheses The temperature factor is of the form $\exp \left(-\beta_{11} h^{2}-\beta_{22} k^{2}-\beta_{33} I^{2}-\beta_{12} h k-\beta_{13} h l-\beta_{23} k l\right)$.

|  | $x$ | $y$ | ${ }^{z}$ | $\beta_{11}$ | $\beta_{22}$ | $\beta_{33}$ | $\beta_{12}$ | $\beta_{13}$ | $\beta_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(1) | 4991 (1) | 1235 (3) | 11377 (2) | 279 (6) | 1410 (35) | 1016 (27) | 53 (26) | 172 (22) | 110 (51) |
| C(2) | 5555 (2) | 1778 (3) | 11233 (3) | 390 (9) | 1648 (44) | 1709 (41) | -40 (34) | 725 (33) | 506 (70) |
| C(3) | 5944 (1) | 2118 (3) | 12315 (4) | 286 (8) | 1485 (43) | 2705 (58) | -361 (31) | 463 (35) | -178(82) |
| C(4) | 5774 (1) | 1943 (3) | 13546 (3) | 276 (7) | 1521 (41) | 1958 (44) | - 113 (29) | -233 (29) | - 976 (71) |
| C(5) | 5205 (1) | 1398 (3) | 13706 (3) | 273 (6) | 1406 (35) | 1077 (28) | 157 (26) | 43 (22) | -241 (52) |
| C(6) | 4814 (1) | 1019 (2) | 12620 (2) | 177 (4) | 882 (25) | 1077 (25) | 139 (18) | 79 (18) | 90 (42) |
| C(7) | 4213 (1) | 363 (3) | 12784 (3) | 183 (5) | 1227 (33) | 1642 (35) | 160 (22) | 78 (22) | 687 (57) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 3728 (1) | 1334 (2) | 12389 (2) | 172 (3) | 1048 (19) | 1233 (19) | 96 (13) | -72 (13) | - 197 (32) |
| C(8) | 3336 (1) | 992( 2) | 11321 (2) | 183 (4) | 888 (25) | 889 (22) | - 100 (18) | 219 (17) | -156 (39) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 3368 (1) | -51 (2) | 10667 (2) | 302 (4) | 967 (19) | 1274 (20) | 65 (16) | 299 (16) | -718 (33) |
| N(1) | 2920 (1) | 1994 (2) | 11102 (2) | 179 (4) | 886 (21) | 849 (18) | 25 (15) | -31 (14) | -472 (32) |
| C(9) | 2470 (1) | 1938 (2) | 9971 (2) | 209 (5) | 1021 (27) | 818 (21) | - 177 (20) | -30 (17) | 18 (40) |
| C(10) | 1952 (1) | 953 (2) | 10159 (2) | 181 (4) | 816 (23) | 626 (19) | 54 (17) | 115 (15) | -180 (35) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 1893 (1) | 365 (2) | 11216 (1) | 245 (3) | 1208 (20) | 558 (13) | - 143 (14) | 95 (11) | 138 (27) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 1544 (1) | 755 (2) | 9104 (2) | 168 (3) | 962 (21) | 599 (16) | -110 (15) | 83 (12) | 86 (30) |
| C(11) | 1583 (1) | 1349 (2) | 7783 (2) | 185 (4) | 907 (24) | 632 (19) | 6 (18) | 98 (15) | 164 (36) |
| C(12) | 949 (1) | 1046 (3) | 7078 (2) | 216 (5) | 1729 (40) | 944 (26) | -48(25) | -81(20) | 308 (53) |
| C(13) | 740 (1) | -209 (4) | 7720 (3) | 270 (7) | 1979 (48) | 1570 (38) | - 557 (31) | -479 (27) | 956 (71) |
| C(14) | 1010 (1) | - 142 (3) | 9157 (2) | 171 (4) | 1177 (30) | 1000 (25) | -169 (20) | 149 (18) | 34 (45) |
| C(15) | 2086 (1) | 634 (2) | 7115 (2) | 204 (4) | 765 (23) | 534 (18) | -43 (17) | 59 (15) | 20 (33) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 2199 (1) | -608 (2) | 7268 (2) | 338 (4) | 711 (17) | 1238 (19) | 59 (15) | 544 (16) | 371 (30) |
| N(3) | 2387 (1) | 1454 (2) | $6355^{\circ}$ (2) | 205 (4) | 680:(18) | 671 (16) | 50 (14) | 174 (13) | 214 (29) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 2897 (1) | 943 (2) | 5706 (2) | 185 (4) | 755 (22) | 632 (19) | 22 (17) | 117 (15) | 33 (34) |
| C(17) | 3353 (1) | 2116 (2) | 5546 (2) | 190 (5) | 988 (26) | 752 (21) | -85 (19) | 127 (16) | -155 (38) |
| C(18) | 3622 (1) | 2805 (2) | 6828 (2) | 207 (5) | 963 (27) | 953 (24) | 42 (20) | -12 (18) | - 374 (41) |
| C(19) | 3949 (1) | 1789 (3) | 7789 (3) | 388 (9) | 1540 (41) | 1239 (32) | 254 (32) | -500 (28) | -411 (60) |
| C(20) | 4040 (1) | 3982 (3) | 6495 (3) | 262 (7) | 1533 (40) | 1716 (39) | - 344 (28) | 115 (27) | -678 (66) |
| C(21) | 2704 (1) | 341 (2) | 4343 (2) | 183 (4) | 790 (24) | 796 (21) | -129 (17) | 192 (16) | -32 (36) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 2260 (1) | 1038 (2) | 3682 (2) | 240 (3) | 1316 (21) | 724 (15) | 196 (15) | -26 (12) | -351 (30) |
| O(6) | 2957 (1) | -649 (2) | 3911 (2) | 257 (4) | 790 (17) | 1086 (17) | -14(14) | 262 (14) | -463 (29) |

Table 3. Best planes
(a) Equations of the best planes

|  | $X=a x+c z \cos \beta, Y=b y, Z=c z \sin \beta$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | $-0.3861 X+0.9221 Y-0.0255 Z+2.9837$ | $=0$ |
| I | $0.6681 X+0.4672 Y-0.5791 Z+2.0866$ | $=0$ |
| II | $0.5652 X-0.7814 Y-0.2647 Z+1.6357$ | $=0$ |
| III | $-0.5634 X-0.2141 Y-0.790 Z+8.0398$ | $=0$ |
| IV | $-0.7025 X-0.6020 Y+0.3796 Z+2.4080$ | $=0$ |
| V | $0.5639 X-0.7907 Y-0.2386 Z+1.4148$ | $=0$ |

Phenyl ring Peptide Z-Gly Peptide Gly-Pro Peptide Pro-Leu Carboxyl Leu Pro ring
(b) Dihedral angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) between the planes

|  | I | II | III | IV | V |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| II | $79 \cdot 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| III | 158.7 | 80.5 |  |  |  |
| IV | 87.7 | $90 \cdot 8$ | $86 \cdot 6$ |  |  |
| V | $107 \cdot 1$ | $166 \cdot 0$ | 91.6 | 77.2 |  |
| VI | 160.2 | 81.6 | 1.6 | 87.6 | 90.6 |

(average $1.02 \AA$ ). The e.s.d.'s of the bond lengths are: $0.002-0.005 \AA$ for X-X, $0.03-0.05 \AA$ for X-H (X, non-hydrogen atoms); and for the angles $0.2-0.3^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{X}, 0 \cdot 7-0 \cdot 8^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{H}$, and $1 \cdot 1-1 \cdot 9^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{H}$.

Table 3 (cont.)
(c) Displacements ( $\times 10^{3} \AA$ ) of atoms from the planes

Atoms with asterisks are not included in the best plane calculations.


Fig. 1. (a) Bond lengths. (b) Bond angles.

| I |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | -9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | -13 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)^{*}$ | -78 |
| H()$^{*}$ | 16 |
| $\mathrm{H}(2)^{*}$ | -37 |
| $\mathrm{H}(3)^{*}$ | -63 |
| $\mathrm{H}(4)^{*}$ | -106 |
| $\mathrm{H}(5)^{*}$ | 35 |


| II |  | III |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| O(1) | 17 | C(9) | -25 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | -12 | $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 3 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 0 | $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 22 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1)$ | -31 | $\mathrm{~N}(2)$ | -10 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 26 | $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 31 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)^{*}$ | 38 | $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | -21 |
| $\mathrm{H}(8)^{*}$ | -74 | $\mathrm{~N}(1)^{*}$ | 121 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(12)^{*}$ | -303 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(13)^{*}$ | 168 |


| IV |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| C(11) | -15 |
| C(15) | 4 |
| O(4) | 4 |
| N(3) | 26 |
| C(16) | -20 |
| H(18)* | 30 |


| V | VI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(16) \quad-4$ | N(2) | 0 |
| C(21) 15 | C(11) | 0 |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-5$ | C(14) | 0 |
| O (6) -6 | C(9)* | -6 |
| H(29*)-119 | C(10)* | 38 |
|  | $\mathrm{O}(3) *$ | 90 |
|  | C(12)* | -348 |
|  | C(13)* | 152 |
|  | C(15*) | 1373 |

The torsion angles of the peptide chain are shown in Fig. 2, together with the serial numbering of atoms in the molecule. The definition of the torsion angles given by the IUPAC-IUB Commission on Biochemical Nomenclature (1970) is adopted. The stereoscopic view of the molecule drawn by ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) is shown in Fig. 3. The best planes and some related data are given in Table 3.
The pyrrolidine ring of the prolyl residue has a half chair structure. The $\mathrm{C}^{\beta}[=\mathrm{C}(12)]$ and $\mathrm{C}^{y}[=\mathrm{C}(13)]$ atoms of the ring are on the opposite side of the best pyrrolidine plane, and the $\mathrm{C}^{y}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}[=\mathrm{C}(15)]$ are on the same side of the plane (Table 3). Then the conformation of the ring is $\mathrm{C}^{\beta}$-exo- $\mathrm{C}^{\gamma}$-endo or $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}^{\gamma}$-endo type ( $\theta=-13 \cdot 4, \chi_{1}=28 \cdot 0, \chi_{2}=-32 \cdot 9, \chi_{3}=24 \cdot 0, \chi_{4}=-5 \cdot 9^{\circ}$; Ashida \& Kakudo, 1974). The torsion angles of the
pyrrolidine ring coincide well with those of the conformation $B$ of the ring (Balasubramanian et al., 1971).

The peptide bond between the glycyl and prolyl residues has a cis conformation, as shown in Figs. 2 and 3. Therefore the folding occurs at the prolyl residue. On the other hand, L-Leu-L-Pro-Gly has an extended configuration, though the twisting of the main chain occurs at the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}^{\alpha}$ bond of the prolyl residue (Leung \& Marsh, 1958). Thus the difference in the sequence of the amino acids affects the packing of the side chain, leading to the different configuration of the main chain. Then the difference of this structure from those of $Z$-GPLG and $Z$-GPLGP is essential. The carboxyl group of the leucyl residue is outside of the folded chain, and an intramolecular hydrogen bond, as found in $Z$-GPLG and $Z$-GPLGP, is not seen. The chain from the $Z$ group to the prolyl residue and that from the prolyl residue to the leucyl residue are nearly trans and zigzag, respectively.

This is the first case of the cis conformation of the peptide bond in the linear oligopeptide, and is interesting as the recent study of poly(L-Pro-Gly) and poly (Gly-Gly-L-Pro-Gly) using ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy suggests that $15-20 \%$ of the Gly-Pro peptide bonds are cis (Torchia \& Lyerla, 1974). The ( $\omega, \varphi, \psi$ ) of the prolyl residue of $Z$-GPL, $\left(-3 \cdot 8,-71 \cdot 7,144 \cdot 9^{\circ}\right)$ agree essentially with those of poly-L-proline I, ( $0,-83,158^{\circ}$ ) (Ramachandran \& Sasisekharan, 1968).

In Fig. 4 the bond angles concerning the cis prolyl residue are compared with the mean values of the trans forms given by Ashida \& Kakudo (1974). The bond angles around the $N($ Pro $)[=N(2)]$ atom are significantly affected by the internal rotation of the peptide bond between the glycyl and prolyl residues. In the cis form, the angle $\angle \mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}^{\alpha}(\angle \alpha)$ is larger than that in the trans form by about $4^{\circ}$, while the angle $\angle \mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}-$ $\mathrm{C}^{\delta}(\angle \delta)$ is smaller than that in the trans form. Thus the steric repulsion between the $C^{\alpha}$ (Gly) and $C^{\alpha}$ (Pro) in the cis form is bigger than that between $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Gly})$ and $\mathrm{C}^{\alpha}$ (Pro) in the trans form. This seems to be plausible, considering the effect of the side chain of the preceding residue. However, the $\angle \mathrm{C}^{\alpha}$ (Gly)- $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}$ (Pro), $116.2^{\circ}$,
becomes smaller than that in the trans form, $118^{\circ}$. The $\mathrm{C}^{\alpha}$ (Gly)- $\mathrm{C}^{\alpha}$ (Pro) distance is $2.862 \AA$, and $\mathrm{C}^{\alpha}$ -

Table 4. Some bond angles in the cis-prolyl residues

|  | $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\angle \mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Z}^{(a)}$-Gly-L-Pro-L-Leu | $125 \cdot 1$ | 122.0 | 1 |
| Actinomycin D | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}125 \cdot 5 \\ 124 \cdot 8\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}119 \cdot 4 \\ 121.5\end{array}\right.$ | 2 |
|  | \{124.1 | \} 121.7 |  |
| 7-Bromoactinomycin $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\{125 \cdot 7$ | $\{120 \cdot 7$ | 2 |
| Cyclic-tri-l-Pro (mean) | 128 | 120 | 3 |
| t-AOC ${ }^{(6)}$-L-Pro-L- |  |  |  |
| Pro-L-Pro | $123 \cdot 5$ | $120 \cdot 8$ | 4 |
| t-BOC ${ }^{(c)}$-L-Pro-l-Pro-L- |  |  |  |
| Pro-L-Pro-Bz ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 123.6 | $120 \cdot 8$ | 5 |
| t-BOC-L-Pro | 124.9 | 121.2 | 6 |
| trans form (mean) | $121 \cdot 3$ | 126.3 | 7 |

(a) Benzyloxycarbonyl; (b) t-amyloxycarbonyl; (c) t-butyloxycarbonyl; ( $d$ ) benzyl ester.
References: (1) This study; (2) Jain \& Sobell, 1972; (3) Kartha, Ambady \& Shankar, 1974; (4) Kartha, Ashida \& Kakudo, 1974; (5) Matsuzaki, 1974; (6) Benedetti, Ciajolo \& Maisto, 1974; (7) Ashida \& Kakudo, 1974.


Fig. 2. Torsion angles of the peptide chain. Definitions for these angles are those given by IUPAC-IUB Commission on Biochemical Nomenclature (1970).


Fig. 3. Stereoscopic_view.
$(\mathrm{Gly})[=\mathrm{C}(9)]-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}(\operatorname{Pro})[=\mathrm{C}(15)]$ is $3 \cdot 197 \AA$. The angles $\alpha$ and $\delta$ are compared with some related compounds in table 4. The cyclic dipeptides are not included in the Table because of their strong intramolecular constraints. Table 4 shows the similar tendency in all of the compounds. A more exaggerated tendency is shown in cyclic tri-L-proline (Kartha, Ambady \& Shankar, 1974; Kartha \& Ambady, 1975) since the ring is so small.

Cases in which the cis conformation of the peptide linkage occasionally occurs at linkages involving the $N$-methyl amino acid, or prolyl residue in several cyclic oligopeptides, have been reported (Konnert \& Karle, 1969; Groth, 1970; Jain \& Sobell, 1972; Kartha, Ambady \& Shankar, 1974; Iitaka, Nakamura, Takeda \& Takita 1974; Kartha \& Ambady, 1975). For the N -


Fig. 4. Bond angles around the nitrogen atom of the prolyl residues. Upper: this peptide; lower: mean values of trans form.
methyl amino acid or prolyl residue, the repulsion energy of the cis form may be almost equal to that of the trans form. Some examples of the cis peptide bonds are given in Table 4. There is one interesting group of an L-proline oligomer in which the $N$-terminal prolyl residue is carboxylated. As shown in Table 4, it has been found that the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of the $N$-oxycarbonyl group is cis to the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}^{\delta}(\operatorname{Pro})$ bond (Benedetti, Ciajolo \& Maisto, 1974; Kartha, Ashida \& Kakudo, 1974; Matsuzaki, 1974).

## Crystal structure

The packing scheme of the molecule is shown in Fig. 5 (viewed along the $b$ axis). The hydrogen bonds are given in Table 5. The most prominent feature of the packing is the alternating arrangement of the layer composed of the hydrophobic groups (the phenyl ring, the pyrrolidine ring and the aliphatic side chain of the leucine) and the layer composed of the hydrophilic groups in the direction of the $a$ axis. There are no close intermolecular contacts within the former layer. On the other hand, three hydrogen bonds are

Table 5. Hydrogen bonds

| Donor | Acceptor | Distances ( $\AA$ ) |  | Angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D-H | $A$ | D $\cdot \cdots$ A | $\mathrm{H} \cdots{ }^{\prime}$ | $\angle D-H \cdots \cdot A$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(4)^{1}$ | 2.869 | 1.99 | 175 |
| N(3) | $\mathrm{O}(6)^{\text {if }}$ | 2.893 | 2.04 | 179 |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)^{\mathrm{itI}}$ | $2 \cdot 636$ | 1.74 | 163 |
| Symmetry code |  |  |  |  |
| Superscript |  |  |  |  |
|  | (i) | $0 \cdot 5-x, 0 \cdot 5+$ | $2 \cdot 0-z$ |  |
|  | (ii) | $0 \cdot 5-x, 0 \cdot 5+$ | $1 \cdot 0-z$ |  |
|  | (iii) | $x$, | $-1 \cdot 0+z$ |  |



Fig. 5. Crystal structure viewed along the $b$ axis. Hydrogen bonds are drawn as dotted lines.
found in the latter layer. No significantly short contacts are observed in this structure: that is, the shortest intermolecular distances are: $3 \cdot 086 \AA$ between O and O; $3 \cdot 274 \AA$ between O and $\mathrm{N} ; 3 \cdot 260 \AA$ between O and C ; and $3.572 \AA$ between C and C .
The pyrrolidine ring of the prolyl residue is quite free, so it is interesting that the disorder does not occur at the $\mathrm{C}^{y}$ atom of the pyrrolidine ring, as detected in L-Leu-L-Pro-Gly (Leung \& Marsh, 1958). The phenyl ring and the side chain of the leucyl residue are also fairly free, though they have one or two weak contacts with the atoms in the hydrophilic layer.

Part of this research was supported by a Scientific Research Grant from the Ministry of Education, to which the authors' thanks are due.
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